

## Progress Report on Seed Production Research

prepared by

Laddie J. Elling  
Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics  
University of Minnesota  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

for

Presentation at the Grass Seed Institute  
Roseau, Minnesota  
March 27, 1974

### Kentucky Bluegrass:

- Performance of 27 Kentucky Bluegrass Strains
- Residue Management for Park Kentucky Bluegrass Seed Production Varieties
- Interaction of Kentucky Bluegrass with Residue Management Regimes and Fertilizer Rates

### Timothy:

- Seven Years of Climax Timothy Seed Production with Five Seeding Rates and Three Fertilizer Rates
- Seed Production of Timothy Varieties
- Interaction of Variety x Fertilizer Rate for Seed Yield in Timothy

### Weed Control:

- Chemical Control of Weeds and Volunteer Seedlings in Established Stands of Grasses and Legumes

### Acknowledgements:

Fertilizer studies are in cooperation with John Grava.  
Herbicide studies are in cooperation with Richard Behrens.

Performance of 27 Kentucky bluegrass strains.

These data represent one year of production and should be accepted with some reservations. However, we believe they do represent a range in seed yields and certainly suggest that one should have some performance information on a variety before you accept it for production. We will harvest these again in 1974.

Variety	Lot. No.	Plant height (inches)	Disease readings*		Harvest date	Seed yield** (lbs/Ac)
			rust	mildew		
Experimental	K-1-187	21	3.5	1.5	7-7	9 a
"	K-1-154	26	4.0	1.0	7-7 <sup>+</sup>	13 a
"	K-1-132	21	2.5	1.5	7-7	13 a
Pennstar		19	2.0	2.0	7-8	13 a
Birka	Mi 8006	19	2.5	1.5	7-7	30 b
Experimental	K-1-194	17	3.0	1.0	7-7	34 b
K-412	Mi 8005	20	2.5	1.0	7-7	34 b
Experimental	K-1-158	24	4.0	1.5	7-7 <sup>+</sup>	34 bc
"	K-1-106	24	3.5	3.0	7-9	38 bc
"	K-1-138	22	3.5	2.0	7-9	51 c
"	K-2-74	26	2.0	3.0	7-7	51 c
"	K-1-192	26	2.5	3.5	7-7	60 cd
"	K-1-46	20	3.5	5.0	7-7	60 cd
Sodco	Indiana Seed	23	3.5	1.5	7-9	60 cd
Delft	9-1599	25	1.5	3.5	6-29	64 cd
Experimental	K-8-144	22	4.0	2.0	7-7	68 de
Primo	7-2787	26	2.0	2.0	7-7	68 de
Experimental	K-2-80	19	1.0	2.5	7-9	81 ef
"	K-8-145	25	3.5	1.0	7-7	85 ef
"	K-2-81	29	3.0	2.0	6-29	90 fg
"	K-1-193	27	4.0	2.0	7-3	98 g
Sydsport	9-1020	25	3.0	1.5	7-7	102 gh
Experimental	K-1-196	23	4.0	3.5	7-3	124 i
"	K-1-139	28	4.0	3.5	7-3	124 i
Park		27	4.5	4.5	7-3	137 i
Experimental	K-8-219	21	4.0	2.0	7-3	175 j
"	K-1-47	21	4.0	2.5	7-3	235 k

\* Read June 27, 1973. 1 = least infection, 5 = most severe infection.

\*\* Means followed by same letter do not differ significantly.

+ These varieties had shattered 75% by visual estimate.

## Residue Management in Park Kentucky Bluegrass Seed Production

Each year we have given you information and data from our studies on residue management in 'Park' Kentucky bluegrass. This year we update this information and present a complete set of the data. These particular tests have been discontinued, although we will be continuing work with other varieties, but with less residue management treatments. We believe there is little doubt concerning the value of residue removal to bluegrass seed production. The method of removal may be influenced by needs and/or possibilities of the use of the residue. One should keep these factors in mind as he studies this report. We have not attempted to interpret and suggest possible uses of residue, although that is a factor for some growers to thoroughly consider.

In the first or second production year Kentucky bluegrass seed production does not seem to be inhibited by excess plant residue from previous crops. The plant residue buildup occurs from the aftermath, straw and stubble left in the field. One to two tons of dry matter per acre is normally deposited each year. Higher fertilizer rates increase the quantity of residue deposited and cause lower seed yields unless the residue is removed.

In 1967 residue removal studies were initiated on the Helmstetter Farm, Roosevelt, Minnesota; and the Kveen Farms, Roseau, Minnesota. We have studied methods and times of residue removal including:

1. Check - the loose straw was raked from the plot, leaving the stubble and aftermath.
2. July-burn - the stubble, aftermath and straw were burned as soon as convenient after harvest (July or early August).
3. September-burn - the stubble, aftermath and straw burned in early September or late August.
4. April-burn - the stubble, aftermath and straw burned following April.
5. September-clip - the plants mowed 1 1/2 inches high and all loose material removed from the plots in September.
6. July-clip - plants mowed 1 1/2 inches high and all loose material removed from the plots in July.
7. July-clip-torch - plants mowed 1 1/2 inches high, all loose material removed from plots and the areas burned with propane torch in July.
8. Check-burn - after several years as check plots, these were mowed 1 1/2 inches high, let dry and burned in July.
9. July-spray-burn - the plant material is sprayed with a desiccant, let dry for 48 to 72 hours and burned in place. Straw is not removed prior to spraying.

We had little information on which to select our treatments at the outset; therefore, it was not surprising that we should add other treatments during this study. After two years it was obvious that the April-burn did not improve seed production, and it was very difficult to get a complete burn in the spring. The September-burn was consistently lower than the July-burn. The September-clip was consistently low, and we changed to July-clip to determine whether the date would significantly affect seed yield.

It has been obvious throughout these studies that the complete removal of the residue by fire gives the best seed yield. By clipping the material, raking off the residue and flaming the plot area with a propane torch we initiated the clip-torch treatment. This treatment produces less smoke than the July burn. In practical operation, the grower would remove the straw, stubble and aftermath for feed or bedding then flame the field with a propane burner.

Varieties like Primo or Pennstar do not burn as readily as Park. It is possible to clip the material, let it dry and burn the field. However, it is time consuming and costly to mow a field with a standard mower. The desiccate-burn treatment serves the same purpose. A dessicant is sprayed on the field to dry the aftermath. After at least 48 hours, the material is burned. This has been effective, although more costly than the July-burn.

The check-burn treatment originated when a check plot was burned in 1969 by mistake and the 1970 seed yield was very good. This treatment has been studied to determine whether a field with excess residue can be brought into good production in a short time.

Yields from the treatments are given in the table. Although all treatments were not included each year, we believe we have enough comparisons for valid conclusions. We studied three levels of fertilizer on each treatment area each year. The first two years the fertilizer treatments were 30+15+15, 60+30+30, and 90+45+45. In 1970 we changed to 40+20+20, 80+40+40, and 120+60+60. Fertilizer treatments are shown as low, intermediate and high.

The seed yields of the check and April-burn treatments were low in each test. Nearly complete residue removal as accomplished by the July-burn, the July-dessiccate-burn, July-clip-torch, and the check-burn treatments has consistently produced the highest seed yields. The July-burn treatment has been included in each test each year. This treatment with high fertility has produced over 550 lbs seed per acre each year. (Note: These yields may not be comparable to farmer fields as our clean-out is not as severe.) July-clip-torch was somewhat lower, but comparable, and July-dessiccate-burn has been equal too.

Initially we used the September date for clipping and removing residue. The yields were not comparable to July-burn, and we changed to July date for clipping, which is more nearly comparable. However, these yields were not equal to those where the residue was removed by fire.

The check-burn treatment was introduced after a check plot was burned by mistake and the following year the seed yield was exceedingly good. We were interested in how quickly satisfactory yields could be recovered where the residue had built up. These yields are very good, which indicates that timely and complete residue removal gives quick recovery of satisfactory seed yield.

The difficulty of burning some varieties and the Park variety under adverse weather conditions has been a concern. Some growers have clipped fields, let the material dry, and then burned with good success. However, this is time consuming and costly. As an alternative a dessicant was sprayed on the plots with no straw removed and 48 hours or more later burned. This treatment produces less smoke, gives rapid and relatively complete residue removal and gives good seed yields.

The data in the table indicate that with good residue removal additional fertilizer produces higher seed yields. The check and April-burn treatments do not give sufficient seed increase to warrant application of additional fertilizer.

Weed control in burned areas is important. Dandelions seem to establish more readily in areas which have been burned, but we have noted little change in other weed populations.

The Capsus bug is effectively and practically controlled when the residue is removed by burning. The highest incidence of Capsus bug damage (silvertop) is observed in the check plots when not sprayed with an insecticide. Clipping, whether in July or September, seems to reduce the incidence of Capsus bug, but does not give practical control of the pest. All burn treatments give essentially perfect control of Capsus bug and silvertop damage. We believe there is no reason to apply an insecticide for Capsus control when the residue is removed by fire. The meadow plant bug is also controlled effectively by burning.

Growers may burn seed fields when they obtain proper permission. However, the grower is urged to cooperate to the fullest extent so that smoke from his fields does not drift across populated areas and/or locations where numbers of people are gathered. Also, he is urged to respect his neighbor and not burn when smoke will drift across the neighbor's farmstead. It is understood that growers will burn fields only when smoke does not cross a public road and/or highway, airport or other public installation. Adherence to common sense rules is the best assurance of continued permission to burn residue from the seed fields in northern Minnesota.

Pounds of Kentucky bluegrass seed per acre from several residue treatments and fertilizer applications at three locations.

Treatment	Fertilizer rate*	Helmstetter										3-yr avg.	Kveen (East)			Kveen (West)			
		1968					1970						1970	1971		1972		1973	
		Lo	Int	High	Lo	Int	High	Lo	Int	High	Lo		Int	High	Lo	Int	High	Lo	Int
Check	Lo	0	203	116	168	-	122	162	107	107	32	100	119	40	-	192	120		
	Int	0	203	124	250	-	144	299	107	54	153	174	113	-	239	175			
	High	0	235	141	266	-	161	350	64	65	160	144	111	-	305	187			
July-clip	Lo				296	226	273	265					215	125	202	181			
	Int				316	266	330	304					399	240	352	330			
	High				398	294	359	350					569	345	362	425			
July-burn	Lo	273	429	498	379	314	387	309	367	451	376	468	270	218	262	305			
	Int	367	576	633	445	429	493	448	520	673	547	654	492	405	422	494			
	High	478	683	666	574	473	567	501	650	799	650	795	573	470	576	604			
Sept-clip	Lo	0	277	307	261	-	211	171	120	249	180	336	112	-	-	224			
	Int	0	352	378	351	-	270	160	179	322	220	479	322	-	-	401			
	High	0	352	453	470	-	319	128	196	579	301	535	296	-	-	416			
Sept-burn	Lo	93	320	431	-	-	281	171	102	256	176	-	-	-	-	-			
	Int	153	373	567	-	-	364	224	188	454	289	-	-	-	-	-			
	High	188	469	704	-	-	454	341	418	726	495	-	-	-	-	-			
April-burn	Lo	0	235	124	-	-	120	245	179	129	184								
	Int	0	331	122	-	-	151	245	230	153	209								
	High	0	341	171	-	-	171	139	265	150	185								
Clip-torch	Lo			512	461	304	347	406				453	200	181	215	262			
	Int			677	549	409	419	514				606	469	341	396	453			
	High			608	690	491	476	566				752	448	409	555	541			
Check-burn	Lo				412	-	439	426						258	-	258			
	Int				570	-	484	527						457	-	457			
	High				834	-	528	681						509	-	509			
July-spray-burn	Lo				425	353	389							266	280	273			
	Int				524	418	471							452	404	428			
	High				554	492	523							643	555	599			

\* Fertilizer rates for 1968 and 1969 crops were: Lo = 30+15+15, Int = 60+30+30, High = 90+45+45  
 These changed in 1970 to: Lo = 40+20+20, Int = 80+40+40, High = 120+60+60  
 \*\* Treatment not conducted in this trial.

## Interaction of Kentucky Bluegrass Varieties with Residue Management Regimes and Fertilizer Rates

As a continuation of the residue management studies initiated in 1967, we have seeded six bluegrass varieties on the Magnusson farm. On these varieties we have superimposed 3 residue management regimes and 3 fertilizer treatments. The residue treatments are:

- C-1 July-burn - the residue is burned in place in July
- C-2 Clip-torch - the stubble and aftermath are removed and then plot area is burned with a torch
- C-3 July-clip - the stubble and aftermath are mowed and raked off

The three fertilizer treatments are:

50+25+25  
100+50+50  
150+75+75

1973 is the first year we have collected data from these plots. The wide range between varieties for seed yields appears to be the most significant finding in 1973. The residue management regimes did not differ significantly. Since we are using three rather refined residue removal schedules, we should not expect gross differences between treatments. There were differences between fertilizer rates, but there were no variety x fertilizer or residue management x fertilizer interactions.

One of our interests when we initiated this study was whether we could improve the seed production of some of the elite varieties. It is evident that Park is our best seed yielding variety. There does not seem to be good explanation of why Pennstar, Merion and some of the other varieties will not yield better. We do not suggest that 150+75+75 is an economical fertilizer rate. Some have suggested that some varieties require higher fertilizer rates, and we are interested from this viewpoint. The yields observed in 1973 suggest that the 100+50+50 rate often improves the yield, but that the 150+75+75 may have depressed the yield.

Seed yields (lbs/Ac) for six Kentucky bluegrass varieties under three residue management regimes and three fertilizer rates. Roseau, Minnesota 1973.

Variety	Fertilizer	July-burn	July-clip-torch	July-clip	Average
Park	50+25+25	327	368	292	329
	100+50+50	333	349	398	360
	150+75+75	348	311	336	332
	Average	336	343	342	
Nugget	50+25+25	324	167	190	227
	100+50+50	253	224	258	245
	150+75+75	297	270	269	279
	Average	291	220	239	
Pennstar	50+25+25	105	85	110	100
	100+50+50	148	151	189	163
	150+75+75	215	194	121	177
	Average	156	143	140	
Merion	50+25+25	125	117	80	107
	100+50+50	117	137	93	116
	150+75+75	197	146	68	137
	Average	146	133	80	
Fylking	50+25+25	61	85	71	72
	100+50+50	144	155	85	128
	150+75+75	123	178	93	131
	Average	109	139	83	
Experimental strain	50+25+25	85	66	107	86
	100+50+50	107	78	151	112
	150+75+75	84	119	116	106
	Average	92	88	125	



Seven Years of Climax Timothy Seed Production  
With Five Seeding Rates and Three Fertilizer Levels

One of our initial concerns for timothy seed production was to learn why old stands appear to "give out" after three or four years of production. In 1966 we seeded two studies with Climax timothy. One was on the Floyd Baade farm near Pitt, Minnesota and the other on the Welin farm 1 mile west of Roseau.

The five seeding rates were 1/3, 2/3 and 1 2/3 lbs per acre in 18-inch rows, and 1 & 2 lbs per acre in 6-inch rows. The three fertilizer levels were: 30+15+15, 60+30+30 and 90+45+45 applied either in the very early spring or the fall before production year. We made one modification in the fertilizer treatment. It was evident that the 30+15+15 was not sufficient to maintain production, and the yields were lower each year. For the 1973 production year, we applied about 110+55+55 on both the 30+15+15 and the 90+45+45 areas in the Welin trial. We had intended to apply 90+45+45, but due to an error in calibrating our fertilizer spreader, we applied the heavier rate.

In almost all cases we burned the residue after harvest. On the Welin farm, we mowed and raked off the straw in 1967 and again in 1972. We believe that since the straw on our plots does not go through a combine, we have had more injury due to burning than we see in fields where the straw has been cut up by the combine and burned.

### Results

We are presenting the complete data from these two trials. 1972 was very dry; therefore, the seed yields are low for all treatments at the Welin farm. We believe also that the plants were seriously injured by burning in August, 1971. The straw was laying close to the ground and may have injured the plants much more than is normal in the fields where the combine cuts up straw and spreads it on the stubble.

In general, it appears that it is practical to maintain a stand of timothy for 6 or 7 years if the residue management is sound and if the fertilizer treatments are adequate. We did not see a significant difference between seeding rates for resulting seed yields; therefore, a very low seeding rate appears to give good seed yields.

Applying a higher rate of fertilizer on the 30+15+15 area in 1972 indicates that adequate fertilizer will return the seed yield production to an economical yield.

Very dry weather in 1973 caused a failure on the Baade farm, and yields were not obtained in that trial in 1973.

These two trials have been discontinued.

Seed yields (lbs/ac) from Climax timothy seeded at five seeding rates and fertilized with three rates, Melin and Baade farms, 1967-1973.

Fertilizer	Row width (inches)	Seeding rate (lbs/ac)	Melin farm					Baade farm					6-yr avg.				
			1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973* avg.**	7-yr avg.**	1967	1968		1969	1970	1971	1972
30+15+15	6	1	597	407	562	355	262	82	400	379	345	352	311	294	128	70	250
	6	2	658	445	608	391	227	81	464	402	243	342	305	275	86	93	224
	18	1/3	546	488	683	319	267	99	411	401	248	399	372	228	118	101	244
	18	2/3	586	459	660	306	257	102	405	395	285	374	385	239	92	86	244
	18	1 1/3	587	423	651	309	258	89	432	387	242	348	346	222	124	100	230
Averages			594	444	633	336	254	91	422	392	271	363	344	252	110	90	238
60+30+30	6	1	559	490	760	553	391	141	261	451	369	343	510	369	197	287	346
	6	2	509	544	722	607	421	134	256	456	294	353	519	376	195	305	340
	18	1/3	582	595	822	471	376	142	272	466	287	415	453	275	157	184	295
	18	2/3	509	467	755	499	391	170	293	441	284	411	572	315	194	267	341
	18	1 1/3	608	484	751	498	386	158	251	448	301	397	511	339	216	329	349
Averages			553	516	762	526	393	149	267	452	307	384	513	335	192	274	334
90+45+45	6	1	606	482	712	647	539	218	304	501	411	329	584	433	209	478	407
	6	2	607	481	596	689	533	209	304	488	363	395	481	380	201	467	381
	18	1/3	479	598	815	650	610	214	267	519	332	458	561	367	189	406	386
	18	2/3	653	567	735	607	581	185	299	518	325	431	559	386	229	465	399
	18	1 1/3	543	440	749	597	609	191	325	493	307	404	595	347	208	446	384
Averages			578	514	721	638	574	203	300	504	348	403	556	383	207	452	391

\* 110+55+55 on 30+15+15 and 90+45+45 areas, 60+30+30 on 60+30+30 area.

\*\* 30+15+15 average does not include 1973 yields from these plots.

## Seed Production of Timothy Varieties

Several characteristics should be considered in selecting timothy varieties for seed production in northern Minnesota. The four we believe most important are: (1) seed yield per acre, (2) plant height, (3) maturity date, and (4) standability.

We are presenting here two sets of data which suggest seed yield potential of a number of varieties. The first table will include data for four years (1970-1973) for 19 varieties seeded in 4-row plots, three replications. These varieties include most of the varieties being grown in northern Minnesota. It seems appropriate to point out that the seed yields are rather consistent, with some major discrepancies. The height measurements are also quite consistent. Harvest date is remarkably consistent with some discrepancies which are probably due to an error in time of harvest. This reading is the date we harvested that variety. Therefore, if a variety was harvested early or late, it will show here. Probably the best estimate of maturity reading is an average of the four dates. It is apparent that most items were later in 1971 than the other three years, but in the main all are very similar.

The lodging score indicates some varieties like Motim will normally lodge; hence, they will be harder to pick up for swathing.

The second table include 75 or more varieties which were seeded in 1972. These were seeded in duplicate 2-row plots, and two square yards were harvested from each plot. A reading of the percent of the heads in a plot which were shedding pollen on the specified day are reported as % Bloom. This suggests that there is a rather long period when varieties are shedding pollen. The seed yields, plant height, date of harvest are much the same as in the 4-row plot test described above. It seems pertinent to point out the rather wide range observed for seed yield and the consistent evaluation when two or more entries of a given variety in this trial are compared, or when entries in this trial are compared with the same varieties in other trials.

Seed yields, plant height, harvest dates and lodging scores for 19 timothy varieties seeded on Welin farm in 1969.

Variety	Seed yield (lbs/A)				Plant height (inches)				Harvest date				Lodging at harvest**						
	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970	1971	1972	1973	1970	1971	1972	3-yr avg.			
	4-yr avg.				4-yr avg.														
Bariton	551	388	261	203	351	37	38	37	34	37	8/18	8/17	8/15	8/8	2.5	3.4	3.6	3.2	
Barmoti	624	594	541	443	551	47	39	43	39	42	8/4	8/2	7/27	7/28	3.0	3.9	2.6	3.2	
S-48	646	227	289	235	350	35	33	38	33	35	8/18	8/17	8/22	8/17	2.5	3.3	1.6	2.5	
S-51	383	407	358	176	331	45	36	42	41	41	8/4	8/17	8/9	8/1	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.4	
S-352	442	408	358	219	357	44	39	43	38	41	7/31	8/2	7/27	7/28	1.2	3.3	1.6	2.0	
Clair	488	403	396	197	371	47	40	45	39	43	7/31	8/2	7/27	7/28	1.3	3.1	2.0	2.1	
Climax	478	358	373	309	380	49	43	49	41	46	8/1	8/2	7/27	7/28	2.0	3.3	1.6	2.3	
Engmo	752	457	481	315	526	43	37	41	38	40	8/2	8/2	8/1	7/28	2.0	4.9	4.0	3.6	
Essex	384	386	356	117	311	48	38	46	40	43	7/31	7/17	8/9	7/28	1.6	3.5	3.0	2.7	
Hay Strain																			
Erecta (Mille)	626	326	357	320	407	44	34	44	35	39	8/1	8/2	8/1	7/28	2.0	3.5	2.3	2.6	
Heidemij	645	355	415	219	401	40	36	39	37	38	8/18	8/17	8/22	8/17	2.0	2.9	2.0	2.3	
Itasca	665	348	327	240	395	46	39	46	38	42	7/31	8/2	7/27	7/28	1.3	3.2	1.6	2.1	
Lorain	499	355	364	352	393	50	43	48	41	46	7/31	8/2	7/27	7/28	1.5	3.6	1.0	2.0	
Motim	542	366	425	187	380	41	41	39	39	40	8/18	8/17	8/15	8/1	4.3	3.8	4.0	4.0	
Olympia	606	278	259	187	333	41	35	36	33	36	8/18	8/17	8/28	8/17	3.0	3.3	2.3	2.9	
Sata	483	409	596	288	444	44	35	41	36	39	7/31	8/2	8/1	7/28	1.0	3.6	3.3	2.6	
Tarmo	625	569	510	304	502	41	38	41	39	40	7/31	8/2	7/27	7/27	3.0	4.9	3.6	3.8	
Verdant	458	260	434	203	339	47	42	46	44	45	8/1	8/2	8/2	7/28	3.0	3.4	2.6	3.0	
Wisconsin T-10	569	349	478	267	416	47	44	50	44	46	8/1	8/2	8/1	7/28	2.0	2.8	2.3	2.3	
LSD 5% level	166	119	128	24															
1% level	222	160	268	33															

\* Plant height recorded early and most varieties were taller at harvest.

\*\* Lodging score: 1 = upright, 5 = severely lodged.

Seed yields, date of heading, plant height, harvest date and date of bloom for timothy varieties, Melin farm, Roseau in 1973.  
 (Seed yields followed by same letter are not significantly different.)

Variety	MSP No.	Seed yield (lbs/ac)	% Headed 6-20-73	Height 6-19-73	Height at harvest	Harvest date	% Bloom												
							June			July			Aug						
							26	27	29	2	5	9	11	18	21	25	1		
Astra	448	277 cde	80	27	36	7-28	T	10	T	75	100	20	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barmoti	276	272 cde	75	25	32	7-28	T	3	8	85	95	8	T	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bariton	449	112 a	T	17	29	8-8	0	0	0	T	5	40	85	70	8	20	T		
Barmoti	277	315 cde	75	24	34	7-28	5	5	3	100	100	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bariton	278	139 ab	10	16	32	8-8	0	T	0	75	30	50	85	60	T	T	T		
Bottinia II	279	421 fgh	80	26	35	7-28	0	5	0	100	100	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
C.B.	280	107 a	225	20	32	7-30	3	0	0	60	65	100	100	8	T	0	0	0	0
Champ	281	416 fg	90	32	42	7-25	40	T	0	100	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clair	282	400 fg	100	34	37	7-24	90	75	0	70	3	T	0	T	0	0	0	0	0
Climax	283	283 cde	80	30	40	7-28	0	0	0	95	100	45	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Climax	284	331 de	90	29	39	7-28	0	0	0	50	100	20	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Comet	450	107 a	0	16	29	8-11	0	0	0	T	3	10	40	90	30	45	T		
Dolema (Pp)	421	139 ab	0	12	30	8-17	0	0	0	T	6	3	3	85	35	75	55		
Drummond	290	133 a	10	18	35	8-1	0	T	0	T	20	100	100	15	0	T	0	0	0
Dural	291	443 fgh	95	29	40	7-28	55	0	0	90	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dural	451	357 ef	95	29	39	7-28	60	3	0	90	95	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Engmo	292	485 hij	90	27	39	7-28	20	5	0	80	100	45	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erecta	293	304 de	75	24	36	7-28	0	T	0	85	100	55	30	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eskimo	294	416 fg	90	26	41	7-28	10	3	0	85	95	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	301	192 abc	55	22	38	7-30	0	0	0	T	85	100	95	T	0	0	0	0	0
Evergreen (WW)	403	208 bc	95	12	24	8-8	40	0	0	100	100	100	90	0	0	0	0	0	T
Evergreen (WW)	452	293 cde	50	19	35	8-1	T	0	0	T	45	100	100	10	0	T	0	0	0
Farol	415	155 ab	T	19	30	8-1	0	0	0	0	4	75	90	50	5	10	0	0	0
Farol	480	176 ab	T	18	35	8-8	0	0	0	T	5	75	90	55	3	10	0	0	0
Gem	306	181 ab	T	18	35	8-8	0	0	0	0	3	85	95	33	5	20	0	0	0

cont.

Melin farm, 1973 cont.

Variety	MSP No.	Seed yield (lbs/ac)	% Headed 6-20-73	Height 6-19-73	Height at harvest	Harvest date	% Bloom												
							June			July			Aug						
							26	27	29	2	5	9	11	18	21	25	1		
Georgikon	307	411 fg	85	28	40	7-28	T	3	0	0	70	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Glasnevin Gem	453	123 a	T	18	35	8-1	0	0	0	0	T	5	80	100	33	0	10	0	0
Heidemij	318	235 bcd	T	18	32	8-13	0	0	0	0	T	2	10	55	90	5	40	T	0
Holea	420	165 ab	95	15	27	8-8	4	0	5	95	100	100	35	T	0	0	0	0	0
Itasca	325	373 fg	100	32	43	7-28	T	0	0	0	75	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Kampe	454	469 ghij	100	32	39	7-28	20	T	0	0	85	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Kampe II	564	555 ij	100	32	41	7-28	0	5	0	0	40	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
King		197 abc	T	16	34	8-11	0	0	0	0	T	2	5	35	85	50	65	20	0
Lorain	332	427 fgh	90	28	43	7-28	0	0	0	0	35	100	10	20	0	0	0	0	0
Mahndorfer	419	437 fgh	100	31	41	7-28	0	3	T	0	40	95	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Match	406	85 a	95	15	24	7-30	100	100	100	40	40	5	T	T	0	0	T	0	0
Melusine	414	352 ef	90	30	38	7-28	T	8	5	100	100	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Melusine	455	309 de	95	32	40	7-28	20	5	3	100	100	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Milton	338	421 fg	95	32	42	7-28	15	5	0	80	100	100	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mommersteeg's (WI)	339	197 abc	0	18	31	8-17	0	0	0	0	0	0	T	T	10	30	70	20	0
Motterwitzer	340	379 fg	95	29	37	7-28	60	15	15	90	100	100	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
Murra	474	251 bcd	90	30	40	7-28	65	5	15	85	45	45	T	T	0	0	0	0	0
"N"	405	403 fg	100	30	32	7-28	50	45	20	45	25	25	0	T	0	0	0	0	0
N1-125	342	347 def	70	27	39	7-28	0	10	5	40	90	90	65	20	0	0	0	0	0
N7-127	344	421 fg	90	32	40	7-28	0	0	0	0	0	50	T	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neuga	357	357 ef	90	32	36	7-28	15	0	0	0	0	35	T	0	0	0	0	0	0
"O"	404	336 de	80	25	36	7-30	T	0	0	50	100	100	65	25	0	T	T	0	0
Oakmere	418	224 bc	0	15	35	8-17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	30	80	35	35
Oakmere	456	229 bc	T	15	33	8-17	0	0	0	0	0	0	T	T	30	35	90	35	35
Olympia	457	203 bc	0	15	31	8-13	0	0	0	0	0	T	5	10	80	18	55	5	5
Omnia	350	571 ij	95	28	38	7-28	0	3	0	85	100	100	30	5	0	0	0	0	0
Otofte Topas	351	501 hij	95	28	39	7-28	30	0	0	45	100	100	30	5	0	0	0	0	0
Pecora	352	251 bcd	45	19	38	8-8	0	0	0	T	18	100	100	100	5	0	0	0	0
Pergo	417	427 fgh	95	28	38	7-28	0	0	0	85	100	100	5	T	T	0	0	0	0
S-48	271	267 cde	T	16	30	8-17	0	0	0	0	0	0	T	T	90	65	80	20	20

cont.

Welin farm, 1973 cont.

Variety	MSP No.	Seed yield (lbs/ac)	% Headed 6-20-73	Height 6-19-73	Height at harvest	Harvest date	% Bloom															
							June			July			Aug									
							26	27	29	2	5	9	11	18	21	25	Aug					
S-50	274	176 ab	90	15	25	8-8	40	10	15	100	100	65	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S-352	275	395 fg	95	28	38	7-25	40	5	5	95	80	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sceempter (Intenso)	356	213 bc	0	16	33	8-17	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	75	80	80	80	25	25	20	20	20
Sceempter (Intenso)	355	240 bcd	T	15	32	8-17	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	85	80	85	20	20	20	20	20	20
Scotia	357	347 def	80	24	39	7-30	0	0	0	80	100	90	85	0	0	0	18	18	18	18	18	18
Sport	458	176 ab	90	15	27	8-8	65	0	3	100	100	65	10	5	3	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
Swallow	358	480 ghi	90	28	40	7-28	20	5	0	90	100	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T-41	367	421 fg	85	29	41	7-28	15	3	0	90	100	60	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Th-71-3	410	139 ab	55	23	32	7-30	0	0	0	70	75	100	95	3	0	T	0	0	0	0	0	0
Th1-70	411	534 hij	85	27	37	7-28	0	0	0	70	100	55	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
tt3-70	412	277 cde	T	17	35	8-16	0	0	0	0	T	5	15	35	20	75	18	18	18	18	18	18
Tw4-70	413	256 cde	T	19	36	8-13	0	0	0	0	T	0	3	55	70	80	35	35	35	35	35	35
Tiran	416	283 cde	T	20	36	8-13	0	0	0	T	5	20	35	60	60	55	20	20	20	20	20	20
Tiran	481	293 cde	T	20	36	8-13	3	0	0	T	10	30	40	65	45	50	T	T	T	T	T	T
Toro	422	464 ghij	100	31	40	7-24	85	10	0	85	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toro	459	475 ghij	100	32	41	7-24	70	5	0	85	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toro	482	619 j	100	33	42	7-24	70	5	0	85	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trifolium (Early)	365	411 fg	90	30	41	7-28	0	0	0	85	100	20	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trifolium (Late)	366	256 cde	T	22	38	8-8	0	0	0	35	13	70	100	8	0	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
Vanadis	460	453 fgh	95	29	41	7-28	3	3	0	80	85	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vanadis	368	421 fg	90	28	41	7-28	10	15	0	95	85	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Verdant	369	181 ab	80	27	41	7-28	0	0	0	90	85	45	40	8	T	T	0	0	0	0	0	0
WMT-48	461	277 cde	80	26	40	7-28	0	0	0	95	100	50	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WMT-49	408	325 de	75	26	39	7-30	0	0	0	85	100	55	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WMT-93	407	485 hij	85	27	39	7-28	0	0	0	90	100	55	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WMT 14 (Pn)	409	208 bc	85	15	24	8-1	0	0	0	50	100	100	90	0	0	0	T	0	0	0	0	0
XT-709	373	363 ef	90	29	39	7-28	40	15	0	100	90	10	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z 2009	477	261 cde	T	15	27	8-14	0	0	0	0	T	5	25	80	10	50	3	3	3	3	3	3
Z 2010	478	229 bc	T	15	30	8-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z 2022	479	149 ab	T	19	32	8-11	0	0	0	0	T	65	95	60	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T

cont.

Welin farm, 1973 cont.

Variety	MSP No.	Seed yield (lbs/ac)	% Headed 6-20-73	Height 6-19-73	Height at harvest	Harvest date	% Bloom											
							June			July			Aug					
							26	27	29	2	5	9	11	18	21	25	1	
Z 9011	374	469 ghij	95	32	40	7-28	65	20	10	80	100	5	T	0	0	0	0	
K0-133 (Z 9011)	487	469 ghij	95	31	42	7-28	25	20	5	85	100	5	T	0	0	0	0	
K0-136 (Topas)	486	459 fghi	90	31	42	7-28	15	20	3	90	100	10	T	0	0	0	0	
K0-192 (Toro)	485	512 hij	95	31	39	7-24	50	15	5	95	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	
K1-53 (Comet)	483	224 bc	T	17	35	8-16	0	0	3	0	T	T	25	75	40	70	T	
K1-63 (Z9006)	489	501 hij	90	18	38	7-28	0	3	0	95	100	25	T	0	0	0	0	
K1-67 (Gem)	488	197 abc	T	20	35	8-8	0	0	0	0	T	70	95	25	0	5	T	
K2-54 (Z 2010)	490	224 bc	T	16	31	8-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	T	75	60	80	23	
K2-55 (Z2009)	491	299 cde	T	17	30	8-14	0	0	0	0	0	T	25	75	35	80	5	
K2-71 (Z 2022)	494	245 bcd	T	22	36	8-8	0	0	0	0	T	75	95	35	15	10	0	
K9-117	495	283 cde	85	30	42	7-30	0	0	0	95	100	95	90	0	0	0	0	
K9-118	493	379 fg	85	31	42	7-28	0	0	0	90	100	70	40	0	0	0	0	
N1 45 (Lorain)	494	459 ghij	85	30	44	7-28	0	0	0	85	100	55	30	0	0	0	0	
N7-128 (Timfor)	492	453 fghi	95	30	43	7-28	0	0	0	70	100	40	20	0	0	0	0	



## The Interaction of Variety by Fertilizer Rate for Seed Yield in Timothy

Most of the fertilizer studies conducted by the University of Minnesota researchers have been in a field of a given variety. Some people have suggested there may be rather specific requirements for various varieties. As a preliminary study to determine whether varieties respond differently to fertilizer rates, we seeded three varieties, Climax, Evergreen and Heidemij. These were seeded in replicated trials at three seeding rates in two row widths: 18-inch rows at 0.5 and 1.0 lbs per acre and in 6-inch rows at 1.5 lbs per acre. In the fall of 1969 for 1970 seed production, we applied two fertilizer rates, 50+25+25 and 100+50+50. In 1970 for 1971 production, we applied 60+30+30 and 120+60+60, and for 1972 and 1973 production 60+30+30 and 100+50+50. The reason we changed rates was the severe lodging observed in 1971 production from the 120+60+60 rate. We maintained the 60+30+30 as the lower rate for 1972 and 1973 production.

Seed yields are shown in the table. Heidemij seed yields from the higher fertility rate were considerably greater in 1972 and 1973 than from the low rate. The response of Heidemij to the high rate was considerably more than the response of Climax in comparable comparisons. We have chosen to omit the yields from Evergreen since the seed stock used for this seeding was not typical for the variety.

This preliminary study suggests that a higher rate of fertilizer should be applied on older stands of Heidemij than on Climax. Heidemij matures about 18 days later than Climax and appears to produce more leaves and regrowth.

We are not sure that other varieties will respond to fertilizer as Climax and Heidemij have done. However, varieties like Bariton, Comet, Intenso, King and S-48 appear to have growth habits much like Heidemij. The maturity of Heidemij and these pasture types is very similar. Growers may wish to consider a higher fertilizer rate on these types of varieties than normally applied to Climax.

We have presented these data for your consideration. We have a more complete study seeded on the Magnusson farm which includes four varieties of the Heidemij type and two of the Climax type. These are seeded at two rates, 0.5 pounds per acre in 18-inch rows, and 1.0 pound per acre in 6-inch rows, with three residue management regimes, and we will apply three fertilizer rates. Data should be available during the winter of 1974-75, although the past 2 years, 1972 and 1973, have been very dry and we could not harvest yields.

This study has been destroyed because of invasion of quackgrass.

Seed yields for Climax and Heidemij timothy at three seeding rates and two fertilizer rates for four years.

Variety	Fertilizer rate	Seeding rate in pounds per acre														
		0.5			1.0			1.5			4-year average	4-year average				
		1970	1971	1972	1973	4-year average	1970	1971	1972	1973			4-year average	1970	1971	1972
Climax	Low*	503	464	343	245	389	493	397	336	315	385	604	445	350	235	409
	High**	432	458	415	245	388	492	425	312	299	382	558	492	445	373	467
Heidemij	Low*	545	447	212	155	340	579	440	240	171	358	574	438	163	149	331
	High**	630	484	360	224	425	659	538	538	320	514	702	466	456	272	474

\* Fertilizer rate for 1970 crop was 50+25+25; for 1971, 1972 and 1973, 60+30+30.

\*\* Fertilizer rate for 1970, 1972 and 1973 was 100+50+50; for 1971, 120+60+60.

Chemical Control of Weeds and Volunteer Seedlings in Established  
Stands of Grasses and Legumes

Drs. Behrens and Elling are screening and testing chemicals for weed and volunteer seedling control in established stands.

There appears to be four chemicals which can be used in established stands of grasses and legumes to control volunteer seedlings and weed seedlings. We recognize that much of the soil is contaminated with clovers, bluegrass and timothy, in addition to the various weed seeds in the soil. We need chemicals which will eradicate clovers from trefoil, or prevent establishment of timothy seedlings from seed shattered and lost from the combine.

The results from this type of work is limited, but some results are available and it appears pertinent to make these available to you. We hasten to add, however, that as we prepare this information, we do not have clearance for these particular chemicals for these uses. We have requested limited clearance for several, but until we have confirmation, it is understood that they cannot be used. If you have interest in this type use for herbicides, carefully check out the procedure for application, use of chemical, rate of use, and clearance restrictions.

Karmex (Diuron) Sprayed on Established Timothy to Control Volunteer  
Timothy Seedlings and Weeds

Two trials are reported here. In 1972 Melle (Erecta) timothy on the Carroll Hanson farm, southeast of Warroad, and in 1973 Climax timothy on the Curtis Skrutvold farm 1 mile west of Roseau were sprayed. The Carroll Hanson timothy had been burned and plants were just beginning to recover when Karmex was applied on May 5, 1972. The Climax timothy on the Curtis Skrutvold farm was beginning to grow but had made little growth when sprayed on April 25, 1973.

In both studies the plots were 100' long and 12' wide. Karmex was applied with a self-propelled power sprayer at 1 lb per acre and to obtain the 2 and 3 lbs/a. treatments, the plots were sprayed two or three times. Four square-yard samples were harvested from each plot, dried and threshed. The yields reported are lbs/acre on the basis of the four samples per plot. Seed yields were not significantly different in either trial.

Melle timothy on Carroll Hanson farm - 1972

Chemical	Rate	Seed yield	Percent germination*
Karmex	0.0	81	83
Karmex	1.0	83	86
Karmex	2.0	84	85
Karmex	3.0	84	84

\* 12 samples per treatment.

Climax timothy on Curtis Skrutvold farm - 1973

Chemical	Rate	% depression to chemical*	Height at harvest	Harvest date	Seed yield <sup>+</sup>
Karmex	0.0	0	43	7-31	281
Karmex	1.0	7	42	7-31	306
Karmex	2.0	15	40	7-31	224
Karmex	3.0	30	37	7-31	295

\* Visual estimate.

<sup>+</sup> Lower yield in 2.0 treatment primarily due to one plot.

Depression of plant growth and seed yields on five crops and weeds as affected by varying rates of five chemicals applied on established legumes on May 3, 1972.

Herbicide	Rate	Seed yields									
		Trefolium*	Milk vetch*	Alfalfa*	Red clover*	Bluegrass*	Perennial sowthistle*	Annual weeds*	Leo	Carrot	Milk vetch
Simazine	1.50	0	30	10	30	90	100	100	371	419	189
	2.25	0	20	25	70	100	100	488	515	165	
	3.00	0	60	30	100	100	100	411	485	149	
Karmex	2.00	10	20	5	25	90	0	100	427	304	171
	3.00	10	50	20	80	90	0	100	485	371	136
	4.00	20	50	30	90	90	0	100	515	448	184
Amiben	3.00	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	---	Not harvested---	
	4.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	"	"	
Terbacil	0.25	10	0	5	5	50	0	100	272	392	256
	0.50	35	45	10	90	100	0	100	229	251	339
	0.75	75	65	10	59	100	0	100	---	Not harvested---	
Sencor	0.25	10	20	5	60	25	0	100	512	499	237
	0.50	45	35	15	90	100	0	100	---	Not harvested---	
Check	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	456	357	208

\* Visual estimate of plant depression due to chemical.

Depression of plant growth, control of weeds, seed yields and harvest dates as affected by varying rates of five chemicals applied on established legumes on April 24, 1973. Same plots as 1972 study.

Herbicide	Rate	Trefolli*	Milk vetch*	Alfalfa*	Red clover	Bluegrass	Perennial sowthistle <sup>+</sup>	Canada thistle	Annual weeds in August**	Seed yields			Harvest dates <sup>+</sup>		
										Leo	Carroll	Milk Vetch	Leo	Carroll	Milk Vetch
Simazine	1.5	5	15	20			Few	Yes	Few	249	292	402	11	10	12
	2.25	10	30	50			0	Yes	0	217	384	381	16	14	12
	3.00	40	95	90			0	Yes	0	217	146	32	16	14	11
Karmex	2.00	0	0	0			Yes	Yes	0	292	292	523	9	11	12
	3.00	0	10	5			Yes	Yes	0	352	377	555	9	10	12
	4.00	15	20	10			Yes	Yes	0	277	292	516	11	10	12
Amiben	3.00	0	0	0			Yes	Yes	Yes	309	324	487	10	10	13
	4.50	0	0	0	Not present		Yes	Yes	Yes	338	327	345	10	11	13
Terbacil	0.25	10	1	10			Yes	Yes	Yes	349	292	512	13	10	12
	0.50	10	5	0			Yes	Yes	Yes	242	302	345	10	9	12
	0.75	45	10	15		Present only in Amiben plots	Yes	Yes	Yes	224	217	370	14	16	12
Sencor	0.25	0	5	10			Yes	Yes	Yes	494	306	466	13	14	12
	0.50	20	5	10			Yes	Yes	Yes	352	317	487	11	14	12
	0.75	40	25	15			Yes	Yes	Yes	217	338	441	16	15	12
Check	--	0	0	0			Yes	Yes	Yes	274	324	359	11	10	12

\* Percent depression due to chemical (visual estimate).

+ Perennial sowthistle apparently controlled by 2.25 and 3.0 rates of Simazine, few remained in 1.5 rate.

\*\* All annual weeds controlled until August in all but check and in the Amiben plots. The, Amiben, Terbacil and

+ Sencor plots the annual weeds started to show.

+ Harvest dates all refer to August.